

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/913,697	BERNSTEIN ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Konata M. George	1616

All Participants:

(1) Konata M. George.

Status of Application: Notice of Appeal filed August 18, 2004

(3) _____.

(2) Byron Olsen.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 2 June 2005

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

Telephonic
 Video Conference
 Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

All Pending

Claims discussed:

All Pending

Prior art documents discussed:

None


Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.



**SHELLEY A. DODSON
PRIMARY EXAMINER**

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Examiner of record called attorney several times to inquire on the status of the application and if an appeal brief was filed. However, attorney of record has not responded to examiner telephone calls. Application has gone abandoned for failing to submit an Appeal Brief as required by 37 CFR 1.192(a)..